

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO                        | ).   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/618,955                            |      | 07/19/2000  | Edgar Allan Tu       | FUSN1-01103US0          | 2141             |
| 26652                                 | 7590 | 08/06/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| AT&T CORP.                            |      |             |                      | EL HADY, NABIL M        |                  |
| P.O. BOX 4110<br>MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748 |      |             | •                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                       | ,    |             |                      | 2154                    |                  |
|                                       | ~    |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | <del></del>                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| · Advisory Action  | 09/618,955  | TU ET AL.  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| pl   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                             |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nabil M El-Hady   | 2154   |                             |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress                        |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of   |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 | f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. 5  36(a) and the appropriat | See MPEP e extension fee    |  |  |  |  |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | onths after the mailing date of the final reje  | ection, even if timely filed,  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by  | ecause:   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:   | ling a corresponding number of  | finally rejected clai  | ms.                         |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.   | ction(s).   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |   | eparate, timely file   | d amendment                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5.</li></ul>  |   | sidered but does No  | OT place the                |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li></ul>   |   | to issues which we   | ere newly                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w</li></ul>  | · / /—  | <i>,</i> —   | and an                      |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: none.  |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: none.  |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .  |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.   |   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | proved or b) disapproved by   | the Examiner.  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ Other:   |   | Nabil El-Hady, Ph.I<br>Primary Patent Exa<br>Art Unit: 2154            | Field<br>D, M.B.A<br>aminer |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Johnson IV (US 5,970,149) fall within the scope of the invention and discloses a job handler module (dispatch central processing unit and dispatcher 34, 36, 38 of Fig. 1) that is operatively coupled to communication module (32 of Fig. 1), the job handler configured to retreive, store, update, and delete data associated with the base device (alerts is communicated to the dispatch control center and dispatcher monitor them, list/store them, col. 4, lines 9-41) and update and delete the data (col. 5, lines 48-54). Johnson IV also discloses the limitation of a wake-up module operatively coupled to the communication module (alert or warning communicated to the dispath control center (col. 4, lines 9-13) to connect the base device ( dispatch control center) with a service provider (firld engineer, col. 4, lines 42-44).